

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,049	07/30/2003	James A. Truc	82800ADAN	8183	
75	90 09/10/2004		EXAMINER		
Milton S. Sales			GRAY, DAVID M		
Patent Legal Sta	ıff				
Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
343 State Street			2851		
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/630,049	TRUC ET AL.	TRUC ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit)	
		David M Gray	2851	m	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	lress	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION on sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (; I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH le, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this cor NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16. This action is FINAL . 2b) This since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matter		merits is	
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>8-40</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>8-40</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The specification is objected to be specification.)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFF	• •	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureaction for a lise	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apporting documents have been received in Apporting the second in the second	olication No eceived in this National S	Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 tr No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-	152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/630,049

Art Unit: 2851

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kahle in view of Kraft et al.

Applicant acknowledges that the reference to Kahle discloses an arrangement wherein title information wherein title information identifies the digital information that is recorded on a medium is provided on the medium.

Applicant argues that there is no disclosure or suggestion in Kraft et al. that would suggest that the index print as disclosed by Kraft et al. can be applied to a CD or disk in the manner as claimed. The examiner cannot agree. The teaching provided by Kraft et al. is that an index print serves as a table of contents for photographic data. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that such a table of contents, although in graphic instead of text format, is equivalent to the table of contents set forth in Kahle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of applicant's invention to substitute the suggested table of contents taught by Kraft et al. for the table of contents disclosed by Kahle. One would have been motivated to so modify Kahle in order to provide a table of contents that allows a user to quickly ascertain the contents of the photographic data stored on the disk in Kahle.

Applicant further argues that the layout of the images on the surface of the disk is not merely printed matter but serves to patently distinguish over the combination of Kahle and Kraft

et al. The examiner cannot agree. Once the combination is made and an index print is to be provided as the table of contents for the disk, the layout of the images thereon clearly becomes printed matter.

Claims 8-40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otake et al., Ishikawa et al. and Wess.

Contrary to applicant's remarks, Wess clearly discloses "an index print which is attachable to its associated image recording medium" (col 1, lns 14-15) and an "index print serves the purpose of quickly and clearly informing a person of the exact image content recorded on the image recording medium" (col 1, lns 29-31). The fact that the specific embodiment shown in Wess is directed to a film cartridge having a film door does not negate this teaching as urged by applicant. Otake et al. clearly discloses as equivalents several types of image recording mediums including film and optical disc. Clearly when the image recording medium is an optical disc the index print would be directly attached thereto as taught by Wess.

Applicant further argues that the layout of the images on the surface of the disk is not merely printed matter but serves to patently distinguish over the combination of Kahle and Kraft et al. The examiner cannot agree. Once the combination is made and an index print is to be provided as the table of contents for the disk, the layout of the images thereon clearly becomes printed matter.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M Gray whose telephone number is 571-272-2119. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David M Gray Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851